arXiv:1207.5067v1 [math.OC] 20 Jul 2012

Simplified formulas for the mean and variance of linear stochastic differential equations

J.C. Jimenez[a]

[a]*Instituto de Cibernetica, Matematica y Fisica, Calle 15, No. 551, entre C y D, Vedado, La Habana, Cuba. e-mail: (see http://sites.google.com/site/locallinearization/)*

Abstract

Explicit formulas for the mean and variance of linear stochastic differential equations are derived in terms of an exponential matrix. This result improved a previous one by means of which the mean and variance are expressed in terms of a linear combination of higher dimensional exponential matrices. The important role of the new formulas for the system identification as well as numerical algorithms for their practical implementation are pointed out.

Keywords: Stochastic Differential Equations, System Identification, Local Linearization Filter

---

1. Introduction

In previous works [8, 9], explicit formulas for the mean and variance of linear stochastic differential equations (SDEs) where derived in terms of a linear combination of exponential matrices. The formulas were obtained as solution of the ordinary differential equations (ODEs) that describe the evolution of the mean and variance. Apart from being of mathematical interest for control engineers, these formulas have played a crucial role in the practical implementation of suboptimal linear filters [8], Local Linearization filters [9] and approximate Innovation estimators [10] for the identification of continuous-discrete state space models. In a variety of applications, these methods have shown high effectiveness and efficiency for the estimation of unobserved components and unknown parameters of SDEs given a set of discrete observations. Remarkable is the identification, from actual data, of neurophysiological, financial and molecular models among others (see, e.g., [1, 3, 5, 13, 14]). Therefore, a simplification of the formulas for the mean and variance of linear SDEs might imply a sensible reduction of the computational cost of the mentioned system identification methods and, consequently, a positive impact in applications.

In this paper, simplified explicit formulas for the mean and variance of linear SDEs are obtained in terms of just one exponential matrix of lower dimensionality. The formulas are derived from the solution of the ODEs that describe the evolution of the mean and the second moment of the SDEs. The variance is then obtained from the well-known formula that involves the first two moments. The computational benefits of the simplified formulas are pointed out.

2. Notation and Preliminaries

Let us consider the d-dimensional linear stochastic differential equation

$$d\mathbf{x}(t) = (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{a}(t))dt + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\mathbf{B}_i\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{b}_i(t))d\mathbf{w}^i(t) \tag{1}$$

for all $t \in [t_0, T]$, where $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{w}^1, \ldots, \mathbf{w}^m)$ is an m-dimensional standard Wiener process, $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}_i$ are $d \times d$ matrices, and $\mathbf{a}(t) = \mathbf{a}_0 + \mathbf{a}_1 t$ and $\mathbf{b}_i(t) = \mathbf{b}_{i,0} + \mathbf{b}_{i,1} t$ are d-dimensional vectors. Suppose that there exist the first two moments of $\mathbf{x}$ for all $t \in [t_0, T]$.

The ordinary differential equations for the d-dimensional vector mean $\mathbf{m}_t = E(\mathbf{x}(t))$ and the $d \times d$ matrix second moment $\mathbf{P}_t = E(\mathbf{x}(t)\mathbf{x}^\intercal(t))$ of $\mathbf{x}(t)$ are [11]

$$\frac{d\mathbf{m}_t}{dt} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{m}_t + \mathbf{a}(t)$$

and

$$\frac{d\mathbf{P}_t}{dt} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{P}_t + \mathbf{P}_t\mathbf{A}^\intercal + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{B}_i\mathbf{P}_t\mathbf{B}_i^\intercal + \mathscr{B}(t),$$

where

$$\mathscr{B}(t) = \mathbf{a}(t)\mathbf{m}_t^\intercal + \mathbf{m}_t\mathbf{a}^\intercal(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{B}_i\mathbf{m}_t\mathbf{b}_i^\intercal(t) + \mathbf{b}_i\mathbf{m}_t^\intercal\mathbf{B}_i^\intercal(t) + \mathbf{b}_i(t)\mathbf{b}_i^\intercal(t). \tag{2}$$

The solution of these equations can be written as [8, 6]

$$\mathbf{m}_t = \mathbf{m}_0 + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{C}(t-t_0)}\mathbf{r} \tag{3}$$

and

$$vec(\mathbf{P}_t) = e^{\mathscr{A}(t-t_0)}(vec(\mathbf{P}_0) + \int\limits_{0}^{t-t_0} e^{-\mathscr{A}s} vec(\mathscr{B}(s+t_0))ds), \tag{4}$$

where $\mathbf{m}_0 = E(\mathbf{x}(t_0))$ and $\mathbf{P}_0 = E(\mathbf{x}(t_0)\mathbf{x}^\intercal(t_0))$ are the first two moments of $\mathbf{x}$ at t_0, and the matrices $\mathbf{C}$, $\mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{r}$ are defined as

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{A}\mathbf{m}_0 + \mathbf{a}(t_0) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \Re^{(d+2)\times(d+2)}, \tag{5}$$

$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_d & \mathbf{0}_{d\times 2} \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{r}^\intercal = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{1\times(d+1)} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ for non-autonomous equations (i.e., with non zero $\mathbf{a}_1$, $\mathbf{b}_{i,1}$); and as

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{A}\mathbf{m}_0 + \mathbf{a}(t_0) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \Re^{(d+1)\times(d+1)}, \tag{6}$$

$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_d & \mathbf{0}_{d\times 1} \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{r}^\intercal = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{1\times d} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ for autonomous equations (i.e., with $\mathbf{a}_1 = \mathbf{b}_{i,1} = \mathbf{0}$). Here,

$$\mathscr{A} = \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{A} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{B}_i \otimes \mathbf{B}_i^\intercal \tag{7}$$

is a $d^2 \times d^2$ matrix, and $\mathbf{I}_d$ is the d-dimensional identity matrix. The symbols vec, $\oplus$ and $\otimes$ denote the vectorization operator, the Kronecker sum and product, respectively.

The following lemma provides simple expressions to computing multiple integrals involving matrix exponentials such those appearing in (4).

Lemma 1. *([15]) Let $\mathbf{A}_1$, $\mathbf{A}_2$, $\mathbf{A}_3$ and $\mathbf{A}_4$ be square matrices, n_1, n_2, n_3 and n_4 be positive integers, and set m to be their sum. If the $m \times m$ block triangular matrix $\mathbf{M}$ is defined by*

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_1 & \mathbf{B}_1 & \mathbf{C}_1 & \mathbf{D}_1 \\ 0 & \mathbf{A}_2 & \mathbf{B}_2 & \mathbf{C}_2 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{A}_3 & \mathbf{B}_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{A}_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \}\, n_1 \\ \}\, n_2 \\ \}\, n_3 \\ \}\, n_4 \end{matrix},$$

then for $s \geq 0$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}_1(s) & \mathbf{G}_1(s) & \mathbf{H}_1(s) & \mathbf{K}_1(s) \\ 0 & \mathbf{F}_2(s) & \mathbf{G}_2(s) & \mathbf{H}_2(s) \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{F}_3(s) & \mathbf{G}_3(s) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{F}_4(s) \end{bmatrix} = \exp(s\mathbf{M}),$$

where

$$\mathbf{F}_j(s) \equiv \exp(\mathbf{A}_j s), \textit{ for } j = 1,2,3,4$$

$$\mathbf{G}_j(s) \equiv \int_0^s \exp(\mathbf{A}_j(s-u))\mathbf{B}_j \exp(\mathbf{A}_{j+1}u)du, \textit{ for } j = 1,2,3$$

$$\mathbf{H}_j(s) \equiv \int_0^s \exp(\mathbf{A}_j(s-u))\mathbf{C}_j \exp(\mathbf{A}_{j+2}u)du$$

$$+ \int_0^s \int_0^u \exp(\mathbf{A}_j(s-u))\mathbf{B}_j \exp(\mathbf{A}_{j+1}(u-r))\mathbf{B}_{j+1} \exp(\mathbf{A}_{j+2}r)drdu$$

$$\mathbf{K}_1(s) \equiv \int_0^s \exp(\mathbf{A}_1(s-u))\mathbf{D}_1 \exp(\mathbf{A}_4 u)du$$

$$+ \int_0^s \int_0^u \exp(\mathbf{A}_1(s-u))[\mathbf{C}_1 \exp(\mathbf{A}_3(u-r))\mathbf{B}_3 + \mathbf{B}_1 \exp(\mathbf{A}_2(u-r))\mathbf{C}_2] \exp(\mathbf{A}_4 r)drdu.$$

$$+ \int_0^s \int_0^u \int_0^r \exp(\mathbf{A}_1(s-u))\mathbf{B}_1 \exp(\mathbf{A}_2(u-r))\mathbf{B}_2 \exp(\mathbf{A}_3(r-w))\mathbf{B}_3 \exp(\mathbf{A}_4 w)dwdrdu.$$

A generalization of the above lemma for integrals with higher multiplicity is given by Theorem 1 in [2], which will be applied as well in a proof below.

3. Simplified formulas for the first two moments

In this section simplified formulas for the first two moments of the linear SDE (1) and two of their special forms are derived. Equations with additive and multiplicative noise as well autonomous and nonautonomous equations are distinguished.

3.1. Equations with multiplicative noise

Lemma 2.

$$vec(\mathscr{B}(s+t_0)) = \mathscr{B}_1 + \mathscr{B}_2 s + \mathscr{B}_3 s^2 + \mathscr{B}_4 \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{C}s}\mathbf{r} + s\mathscr{B}_5 \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{C}s}\mathbf{r}, \tag{8}$$

for all $s \geq 0$*, where the vectors* $\mathscr{B}_1 = vec(\beta_1) + \beta_4 \mathbf{m}_0$*,* $\mathscr{B}_2 = vec(\beta_2) + \beta_5 \mathbf{m}_0$ *and* $\mathscr{B}_3 = vec(\beta_3)$*, and the matrices* $\mathscr{B}_4 = \beta_4 \mathbf{L}$ *and* $\mathscr{B}_5 = \beta_5 \mathbf{L}$ *are defined in terms of the matrices*

$$\beta_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\mathbf{b}_{i,0} + \mathbf{b}_{i,1} t_0)(\mathbf{b}_{i,0} + \mathbf{b}_{i,1} t_0)^\intercal$$

$$\beta_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\mathbf{b}_{i,0} + \mathbf{b}_{i,1} t_0)\mathbf{b}_{i,1}^\intercal + \mathbf{b}_{i,1}(\mathbf{b}_{i,0} + \mathbf{b}_{i,1} t_0)^\intercal$$

$$\beta_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{b}_{i,1}\mathbf{b}_{i,1}^\intercal$$

$$\beta_4 = (\mathbf{a}_0 + \mathbf{a}_1 t_0) \oplus (\mathbf{a}_0 + \mathbf{a}_1 t_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\mathbf{b}_{i,0} + \mathbf{b}_{i,1} t_0) \otimes \mathbf{B}_i + \mathbf{B}_i \otimes (\mathbf{b}_{i,0} + \mathbf{b}_{i,1} t_0)$$

$$\beta_5 = \mathbf{a}_1 \oplus \mathbf{a}_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{b}_{i,1} \otimes \mathbf{B}_i + \mathbf{B}_i \otimes \mathbf{b}_{i,1}.$$

Proof. The formula for $vec(\mathscr{B}(s+t_0))$ is directly obtained by substituting (3) in (2) with $t = s + t_0$. $\square$

The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 3. *Let* $\mathbf{x}$ *be the solution of the linear SDE (1) with moments* $\mathbf{m}_0 = E(\mathbf{x}(t_0))$ *and* $\mathbf{P}_0 = E(\mathbf{x}(t_0)\mathbf{x}^\intercal(t_0))$ *at* t_0*. Then, the first two moments of* $\mathbf{x}$ *can be computed as*

$$\mathbf{m}_t = \mathbf{m}_0 + \mathbf{L}_2 e^{\mathbf{M}(t-t_0)}\mathbf{u}$$

and

$$vec(\mathbf{P}_t) = \mathbf{L}_1 e^{\mathbf{M}(t-t_0)}\mathbf{u}$$

for all $t \in [t_0, T]$*, where the* $(d^2+2d+7)-$*dimensional vector* $\mathbf{u}$ *and the matrices*

$\mathbf{M}$, $\mathbf{L}_1$, $\mathbf{L}_2$ are defined as

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathscr{A} & \mathscr{B}_5 & \mathscr{B}_4 & \mathscr{B}_3 & \mathscr{B}_2 & \mathscr{B}_1 \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{I}_{d+2} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} vec(\mathbf{P}_0) \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{r} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{L}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{d\times(d^2+d+2)} & \mathbf{I}_d & \mathbf{0}_{d\times 5} \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{L}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{d^2} & \mathbf{0}_{d^2\times(2d+7)} \end{bmatrix},$$

with $\mathscr{B}_i$ defined as in Lemma 2, $\mathbf{C}$, $\mathbf{r}$ in (5), and $\mathscr{A}$ in (7).

Proof. From (4) and (8) follows that

$$vec(\mathbf{P}_t) = \mathbf{F}_1 vec(\mathbf{P}_0) + \mathbf{K}_1 + \mathbf{H}_1\mathbf{r}$$

where

$$\mathbf{F}_1 = e^{\mathscr{A}(t-t_0)},$$

$$\mathbf{K}_1 = \int_0^{t-t_0} e^{\mathscr{A}(t-t_0-s)}\mathscr{B}_1 ds + \int_0^{t-t_0}\int_0^{s} e^{\mathscr{A}(t-t_0-s)}\mathscr{B}_2 duds + 2\int_0^{t-t_0}\int_0^{s}\int_0^{u} e^{\mathscr{A}(t-t_0-s)}\mathscr{B}_3 drduds$$

and

$$\mathbf{H}_1 = \int_0^{t-t_0} e^{\mathscr{A}(t-t_0-s)}\mathscr{B}_4 \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{C}s} ds + \int_0^{t-t_0}\int_0^{s} e^{\mathscr{A}(t-t_0-s)}\mathscr{B}_5 \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{C}s} duds.$$

Further, with $\mathbf{F}_3 = \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{C}(t-t_0)}$, (3) can be written as

$$\mathbf{m}_t = \mathbf{m}_0 + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{F}_3\mathbf{r},$$

where the matrices $\mathbf{L}$, $\mathbf{C}$, $\mathbf{r}$ are defined as in (5). Thus, by a direct application of Theorem 1 in [2] (alternatively, Lemma 1 for $\mathbf{F}_1$, $\mathbf{F}_3$ and $\mathbf{H}_1$ can be used by simplicity) follows that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}_1 & - & \mathbf{H}_1 & - & - & \mathbf{K}_1 \\ \mathbf{0} & - & - & - & - & - \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{F}_3 & - & - & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & - & - & - \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & - & - \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & - \end{bmatrix} = e^{\mathbf{M}(t-t_0)} \text{ with } \mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathscr{A} & \mathscr{B}_5 & \mathscr{B}_4 & \mathscr{B}_3 & \mathscr{B}_2 & \mathscr{B}_1 \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{I}_{d+2} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\mathscr{B}_i$ are defined as in Lemma 2, and $\mathscr{A}$ in (7). This implies that

$$\mathbf{m}_t = \mathbf{m}_0 + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{v}_2$$

and

$$vec(\mathbf{P}_t) = \mathbf{v}_1,$$

where the d^2-dimensional vector $\mathbf{v}_1$ and the d-dimensional vector $\mathbf{v}_2$ are defined as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 \\ - \\ \mathbf{v}_2 \\ - \\ - \\ - \end{bmatrix} = e^{\mathbf{M}(t-t_0)}\mathbf{u} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} vec(\mathbf{P}_0) \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{r} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof concludes by verifying that $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{L}_2\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{L}_1\mathbf{v}$, where $\mathbf{v}^\intercal = [\mathbf{v}_1, -, \mathbf{v}_2, - - -]$, $\mathbf{L}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{d\times(d^2+d+2)} & \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{0}_{d\times 3} \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{L}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{d^2} & \mathbf{0}_{d^2\times(2d+7)} \end{bmatrix}$. $\square$

For autonomous equations with multiplicative noise the formulas of the previous theorem can be simplified as follows.

Theorem 4. *Let* $\mathbf{x}$ *be the solution of the linear SDE (1) with* $\mathbf{a}_1 = \mathbf{b}_{i,1} = \mathbf{0}$. *Let* $\mathbf{m}_0 = E(\mathbf{x}(t_0))$ *and* $\mathbf{P}_0 = E(\mathbf{x}(t_0)\mathbf{x}^\intercal(t_0))$ *be moments of* $\mathbf{x}$ *at* t_0. *Then, the first two moments of* $\mathbf{x}$ *can be computed as*

$$\mathbf{m}_t = \mathbf{m}_0 + \mathbf{L}_2 e^{\mathbf{M}(t-t_0)}\mathbf{u}$$

and

$$vec(\mathbf{P}_t) = \mathbf{L}_1 e^{\mathbf{M}(t-t_0)}\mathbf{u}$$

for all $t \in [t_0, T]$, *where the* $(d^2+d+2)-$*dimensional vector* $\mathbf{u}$ *and the matrices*

$\mathbf{M}_1$, $\mathbf{L}_1$ *are defined as*

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathscr{A} & \mathscr{B}_1 & \mathscr{B}_4 \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{C} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} vec(\mathbf{P}_0) \\ 1 \\ \mathbf{r} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{L}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{d\times(d^2+1)} & \mathbf{I}_d & \mathbf{0}_{d\times 1} \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{L}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{d^2} & \mathbf{0}_{d^2\times(d+2)} \end{bmatrix}$$

with $\mathscr{B}_1$ *and* $\mathscr{B}_4$ *defined as in Lemma 2,* $\mathbf{C}$, $\mathbf{r}$ *in (6), and* $\mathscr{A}$ *in (7).*

Proof. Since $\mathbf{a}_1 = \mathbf{b}_{i,1} = \mathbf{0}$, $\mathscr{B}_2 = \mathscr{B}_3 = \mathscr{B}_5 = \mathbf{0}$. Thus, from (4) and (8) follows that

$$vec(\mathbf{P}_t) = \mathbf{F}_1 vec(\mathbf{P}_0) + \mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{H}_1\mathbf{r},$$

where

$$\mathbf{F}_1 = e^{\mathscr{A}(t-t_0)},$$

$$\mathbf{G}_1 = \int_0^{t-t_0} e^{\mathscr{A}(t-t_0-s)}\mathscr{B}_1 ds$$

and

$$\mathbf{H}_1 = \int_0^{t-t_0} e^{\mathscr{A}(t-t_0-s)}\mathscr{B}_4 e^{\mathbf{C}s} ds.$$

By a direct application of Lemma 1 follows that

$$\mathbf{m}_t = \mathbf{m}_0 + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{F}_3\mathbf{r}$$

and

$$vec(\mathbf{P}_t) = \mathbf{F}_1 vec(\mathbf{P}_0) + \mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{H}_1\mathbf{r},$$

where the matrices $\mathbf{F}_1$, $\mathbf{F}_3$, $\mathbf{K}_1$, and $\mathbf{H}_1$ are defined as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}_1 & \mathbf{G}_1 & \mathbf{H}_1 \\ \mathbf{0} & - & - \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{F}_3 \end{bmatrix} = e^{\mathbf{M}(t-t_0)} \text{ with } \mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathscr{A} & \mathscr{B}_1 & \mathscr{B}_4 \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{C} \end{bmatrix},$$

with $\mathscr{B}_1$ and $\mathscr{B}_4$ defined as in Lemma 2, $\mathbf{C}$, $\mathbf{r}$ in (6), and $\mathscr{A}$ in (7). This implies that

$$\mathbf{m}_t = \mathbf{m}_0 + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{v}_2$$

and

$$vec(\mathbf{P}_t) = \mathbf{v}_1,$$

where the d^2-dimensional vector $\mathbf{v}_1$ and the d-dimensional vector $\mathbf{v}_2$ are defined as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 \\ - \\ \mathbf{v}_2 \end{bmatrix} = e^{\mathbf{M}(t-t_0)}\mathbf{u} \ \text{ with } \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} vec(\mathbf{P}_0) \\ 1 \\ \mathbf{r} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof concludes by verifying that $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{L}_2\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{L}_1\mathbf{v}$, where $\mathbf{v}^\intercal = [\mathbf{v}_1, -, \mathbf{v}_2]$, $\mathbf{L}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{d\times(d^2+1)} & \mathbf{L} \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{L}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{d^2} & \mathbf{0}_{d^2\times(d+2)} \end{bmatrix}$. ☐

3.2. Equations with additive noise

For autonomous SDEs with additive noise an additional simplification of the explicit formulas for the first two moments can be archived.

Theorem 5. *Let* $\mathbf{x}$ *be the solution of the linear SDE (1) with* $\mathbf{B}_i = \mathbf{0}$ *and* $\mathbf{a}_1 = \mathbf{b}_{i,1} = \mathbf{0}$. *Let* $\mathbf{m}_0 = E(\mathbf{x}(t_0))$ *and* $\mathbf{P}_0 = E(\mathbf{x}(t_0)\mathbf{x}^\intercal(t_0))$ *be moments of* $\mathbf{x}$ *at* t_0. *Then, the first two moments of* $\mathbf{x}$ *can be computed as*

$$\mathbf{m}_t = \mathbf{m}_0 + \mathbf{k}_1$$

and

$$\mathbf{P}_t = \mathbf{F}_1\mathbf{P}_0\mathbf{F}_1^\intercal + \mathbf{H}_1\mathbf{F}_1^\intercal + \mathbf{F}_1\mathbf{H}_1^\intercal$$

for all $t \in [t_0, T]$, *where the matrices* $\mathbf{F}_1$, $\mathbf{H}_1$, *and* $\mathbf{k}_1$ *are defined as*

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}_1 & - & \mathbf{H}_1 & \mathbf{k}_1 \\ \mathbf{0} & - & - & - \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & - & - \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & - \end{bmatrix} = e^{\mathbf{M}(t-t_0)},$$

being

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{a}_0 & \mathbf{a}_0\mathbf{m}_0^\intercal + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\mathbf{b}_{i,0}\mathbf{b}_{i,0}^\intercal & \mathbf{A}\mathbf{m}_0 + \mathbf{a}_0 \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 & (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{m}_0 + \mathbf{a}_0)^\intercal & 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & -\mathbf{A}^\intercal & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

a $(2d+2)\times(2d+2)$ *matrix.*

Proof. Thanks to $\mathbf{B}_i = \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{a}_1 = \mathbf{b}_{i,1} = \mathbf{0},$ the commutation of the matrices $(\mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{A})$ and $(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{I})$, and by using expressions (4) and (2) it is obtained that

$$\mathbf{P}_t = e^{\mathbf{A}(t-t_0)}\mathbf{P}_0 e^{\mathbf{A}^\intercal(t-t_0)} + \int\limits_0^{t-t_0} e^{\mathbf{A}(t-t_0-s)}\mathscr{B}(s+t_0)e^{\mathbf{A}^\intercal(t-t_0-s)}ds,$$

where now $\mathscr{B}(s+t_0)$ reduces to

$$\mathscr{B}(s+t_0) = \mathbf{a}_0\mathbf{m}_{s+t_0}^\intercal + \mathbf{m}_{s+t_0}\mathbf{a}_0^\intercal + \sum_{i=1}^{m}\mathbf{b}_{i,0}\mathbf{b}_{i,0}^\intercal.$$

Taking into account that $\mathbf{m}_{s+t_0}$ can be rewritten as [8]

$$\mathbf{m}_{s+t_0} = \mathbf{m}_0 + \mathbf{k}_1,$$

with

$$\mathbf{k}_1 = \int\limits_0^{s} e^{\mathbf{A}(s-u)}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{m}_0 + \mathbf{a}_0)du$$

we have that

$$\mathbf{a}_0\mathbf{m}_{s+t_0}^\intercal = \mathbf{a}_0\mathbf{m}_0^\intercal + \mathbf{a}_0(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{m}_0 + \mathbf{a}_0)^\intercal \int\limits_0^{s} e^{\mathbf{A}^\intercal(s-u)}du,$$

and so $\mathbf{P}_t$ can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{P}_t = \mathbf{F}_1\mathbf{P}_0\mathbf{F}_1^\intercal + \mathbf{H}_1\mathbf{F}_1^\intercal + \mathbf{F}_1\mathbf{H}_1^\intercal,$$

where

$$\mathbf{F}_1 = e^{\mathbf{A}(t-t_0)}$$

and

$$\mathbf{H}_1 = \int\limits_0^{t-t_0} e^{\mathbf{A}(t-t_0-s)}(\mathbf{a}_0\mathbf{m}_0^\intercal + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\mathbf{b}_{i,0}\mathbf{b}_{i,0}^\intercal)e^{-\mathbf{A}^\intercal s}ds$$
$$+ \int\limits_0^{t-t_0} e^{\mathbf{A}(t-t_0-s)}\mathbf{a}_0(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{m}_0 + \mathbf{a}_0)^\intercal \int\limits_0^{s} e^{-\mathbf{A}^\intercal u}duds.$$

Proof concludes by a direct application of Lemma 1 ☐

4. Computational aspects

Theorem 3 provides explicit formulas for the first two moments of the linear SDE (1) in terms of an exponential matrix of dimension $d^2 + 2d + 7$. By using the well-known expression

$$var(\mathbf{z}) = E(\mathbf{z}\mathbf{z}^\intercal) - E(\mathbf{z})E(\mathbf{z}^\intercal)$$

for the variance $var(\mathbf{z})$ of a random variable $\mathbf{z}$, the variance $var(\mathbf{x})$ of $\mathbf{x}$ solution of (1) can be straightforward computed as

$$var(\mathbf{x}(t)) = \mathbf{P}_t - \mathbf{m}_t\mathbf{m}_t^\intercal$$

for all $t \in [t_0, T]$, where $\mathbf{m}_t$ and $\mathbf{P}_t$ are given as in Theorem 3.

By taking into account that the explicit formulas for the mean and variance of (1) obtained in [8, 9] involve the computation of seven exponential matrices of different dimensions up to a maximum of $3d^2 + 4d + 4$, it is obvious the remarkable advantages of the new simplified formulas. From a computational viewpoint, this includes a considerable reduction of the computer storage capacity and the computational time required for their evaluations through the well known Padé method [12, 7] for exponential matrices. But, in addition, the new formulas allow the efficient computation of the mean and variance of high dimensional systems of the linear SDEs by means of the Krylov subspace method [12, 7] for exponential matrices, which is crucial in many practical situations. Other advantage of the exponential form of these formulas is the flow property the exponential operator, which allows an extra reduction of the computational time when the mean and variance of (1) are required on consecutive time instants with multiplicity. In this case, the firsts two conditional moments at the first time instant after the initial one is computed through the exponential matrix of Theorem 3, whereas the others at the remainder times are computed by simple multiplications of the exponential matrix just mentioned.

Theorems 4 and 5 provide explicit formulas for the first two moments of autonomous linear SDEs, which involve an exponential matrix of lower dimensionality: $d^2 + d + 2$ for equation with multiplicative noise, and $2d + 2$ for equations with additive noise. This yields extra advantages in a number of important applications.

The formulas derived in this paper are one of the main components of the adaptive Local Linearization filters [4], which provide accurate and computationally efficient approximations to the solution of the linear minimum variance filtering problem for continuous-discrete state space models.

Acknowledgement: The author thanks to Prof. A. Yoshimoto for his invitation to the Institute of Statistical Mathematics, Japan, where the manuscript was completed.

Bibliography

[1] CALDERON C.P., HARRIS N.C., KIANG C.H., COX D.D. (2009). Analyzing single-molecule manipulation experiments, Journal of Molecular Recognition, 22, 356 - 362.

[2] CARBONELL F., JIMENEZ J.C., PEDROSO L. (2008). Computing multiple integrals involving matrix exponentials, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 213, 300-305.

[3] CHIARELLA C., HUNG H., TO T.D. (2009). The volatility structure of the fixed income market under the HJM framework: A nonlinear filtering approach. Comput. Stat. Data Anal. 53, 2075-2088.

[4] JIMENEZ J.C. (2012) Approximate linear minimum variance filters for continuous-discrete state space models: convergence and practical algorithms, submitted.

[5] JIMENEZ J.C., BISCAY R., OZAKI T. (2006). Inference methods for discretely observed continuous-time stochastic volatility models: A commented overview, Asia-Pacific Financial Markets, 12, 109-141.

[6] JIMENEZ J.C., CARBONELL F. (2005). Rate of convergence of Local Linearization schemes for initial-value problems, Appl. Math. Comput., 171, 1282-1295.

[7] JIMENEZ J.C., DE LA CRUZ H., Convergence rate of strong Local Linearization schemes for stochastic differential equations with additive noise, BIT, 52 (2012) 357-382.

[8] JIMENEZ J.C., OZAKI T. (2002). Linear estimation of continuous-discrete linear state space models with multiplicative noise, Systems & Control Letters, 47, 91-101.

[9] JIMENEZ J.C., OZAKI T. (2003). Local Linearization filters for nonlinear continuous-discrete state space models with multiplicative noise. Int. J. Control, 76, 1159-1170.

[10] JIMENEZ J.C., OZAKI T. (2006). An approximate innovation method for the estimation of diffusion processes from discrete data, J. Time Series Analysis, 27, 77-97.

[11] KLOEDEN P.E. AND PLATEN E., Numerical Solution of Stochastic Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Second Edition, 1995.

[12] MOLER C. AND VAN LOAN C. (2003). Nineteen dubious ways to compute the exponential of a matrix, SIAM Review, 45, 3-49.

[13] RIERA, J.J., WATANABE J., IWATA K., MIURA N., AUBERT E., OZAKI T. AND KAWASHIMA R. (2004). A state-space model of the hemodynamic approach: nonlinear filtering of BOLD signals. Neuroimage, 21, 547-567.

[14] VALDES P.A., JIMENEZ J.C., RIERA J., BISCAY R. AND OZAKI T. (1999). Nonlinear EEG analysis based on a neural mass model. Biol. Cyb., 81, 415-424.

[15] C.F. VAN LOAN (1978). Computing integrals involving the matrix exponential, IEEE Trans. Autom. Control, AC-23, 395-404.